
Global Trust in Scientists Revealed: Key Survey Insights

Description

The foundation of public confidence in the scientific community is indispensable. It serves to inform individual choices regarding health-related matters and facilitates evidence-based policymaking, essential during crises such as the COVID-19 pandemic and the exigent challenges posed by climate change.

Our extensive survey, encompassing 71,922 participants across 68 nations, executed by a global consortium of 241 researchers, reveals a predominantly robust trust in scientists among the populace.

Significantly, the data indicates a strong public desire for scientists to engage proactively in societal discourse and in the formulation of policies. These findings are detailed in our latest publication in [Nature Human Behaviour](#).

The implications of these findings for societal dynamics, as well as for scientists and policymakers striving to nurture and expand this trust, merit consideration. Below are the pertinent insights derived from our research.

Debunking the 'Crisis' Narrative

Despite indications from various reports and polls that [public trust in science remains high](#), a recurrent narrative of a "crisis of trust" pervades discussions surrounding [science](#) and the credibility of [scientists](#).

Some scholars contend that media portrayals of polling results can engender a [self-fulfilling prophecy](#), eroding scientific credibility by perpetuating a narrative of distrust.

Further [research](#) posits that media narratives significantly shape public perception through framing, particularly observed in the context of political discourse surrounding scientific controversies. Exposure to certain media outlets can exacerbate skepticism, feeding into broader societal phenomena such as increased [climate change denial](#).

Our research transcends Western perspectives, focusing on numerous underrepresented populations in the Global South. We scrutinize the authenticity of claims regarding diminished trust in scientists and explore variability across different national contexts.

A Comprehensive Global Survey

We undertook an expansive, crowd-sourced [Many Labs project](#), deploying a meticulously translated survey across all inhabited continents and 68 countries. Data were collected from November 2022 to August 2023, utilizing weighted samples reflective of national demographics concerning age, gender, and education. Engaging with the comprehensive data is feasible through our [interactive dashboard](#).

Trust in scientists was assessed through established constructs, including perceived competence, benevolence, integrity, and openness.

Global Trust Levels in Scientists

Our analysis reveals a generally elevated level of trust in scientists worldwide (mean trust level = 3.62, on a scale where 1 signifies very low trust and 5 indicates very high trust).

It appears that scientists are predominantly perceived as highly competent, with moderate integrity and benevolent intentions, yet are noted to be somewhat less receptive to feedback. A notable majority perceives scientists as qualified (78%), honest (57%), and committed to public welfare (56%).

No nation exhibited a marked deficit of trust towards scientists, reinforcing their position as credible authorities in diverse contexts.

Australia ranks fifth in terms of trust, significantly surpassing the global average, trailing only Egypt, India, Nigeria, and Kenya.

Variances in Trust Across Demographics

Our findings suggest that trust is marginally higher among women, older demographics, urban dwellers, and individuals with elevated income levels, formal education, and liberal political affiliations.

In most nations, political orientation demonstrated no significant correlation with trust in scientists. However, in Western contexts, individuals espousing conservative views exhibit diminished trust compared to their liberal counterparts, corroborating [findings from North America](#).

In Australia, political orientation does not appear to significantly influence trust in science, suggesting that polarization regarding scientific discourse may not be as pronounced as observed in specific contentious issues, such as [climate change](#).

Globally, a salient factor influencing trust is an individual's endorsement of [social dominance orientation](#), with individuals favoring social inequality displaying significantly lower trust in scientists. This aligns with [prior research](#).

Expectations of Scientific Responsibility

A significant majority of participants advocate for an active scientific presence in societal affairs and policymaking.

Globally, 83% of respondents assert that scientists should engage in public discourse regarding scientific issues, particularly prevalent among African populations.

Additionally, approximately half (49%) posit that scientists should advocate for specific policies, while 52% believe they should partake actively in the policymaking process.

In Australia, roughly two-thirds (66%) support the notion of scientists advocating for policy positions, and 62% endorse greater involvement in policymaking.

Alignment of Scientific Priorities with Public Perception

Globally, a significant portion of individuals express concern that scientific research priorities do not adequately align with their own interests.

This misalignment is critical, as the gap between perceived and desired research priorities correlates positively with trust levels; diminished trust often results from the belief that scientific efforts do not sufficiently address personal expectations.

Overall, respondents prioritized research aimed at enhancing public health above all, followed by efforts to

mitigate energy-related challenges and alleviate poverty.

Conversely, research concentrated on military technology is perceived as the least critical, with a prevailing belief that science emphasizes it disproportionately.

Regional disparities exist, with individuals in African and Asian contexts advocating for increased emphasis on defense technology development.

Conclusion: Insights Amidst Assured Trust

The results of our inquiry resonate with prevailing Western narratives, revealing that scientists are amongst the most esteemed figures in societal trustworthiness. Our global findings indicate robust trust in the scientific community, alongside a strong conviction that scientists should engage with societal and policy issues.

This evidence challenges the prevalent notion of a crisis of trust in science.

Nonetheless, our findings underscore areas of concern: less than half of respondents (42%) believe that scientists actively consider diverse viewpoints. While scientists are perceived as competent, and generally possess benevolent intentions, there remains a notable perception of inadequacy in their openness to discourse.

Moreover, the disparity between public perception and the priority of scientific endeavors signifies a critical area warranting attention. We advocate for scientists to heed these findings, engaging thoughtfully with public feedback and fostering dialogue. In Western contexts, it is imperative for the scientific community to explore innovative pathways to engage conservative demographics.

In the long term, scientists ought to reassess their roles in establishing research priorities that align more closely with societal values.

[Mathew Marques](#), Senior Lecturer in Social Psychology, [La Trobe University](#); [Niels Mede](#), Senior Research and Teaching Associate, Department of Communication and Media Research, [University of Zurich](#); [Viktoria Cologna](#), Postdoctoral Researcher, Swiss Institute for Advanced Study, [Swiss Federal Institute of Technology Zurich](#), and [Zoe Leviston](#), Research Fellow in Social Psychology, [Australian National University](#)

This article is republished from [The Conversation](#) under a Creative Commons license. Read the [original article](#).



Vocabulary List:

1. **Indispensable** /,ɪn.dɪ'spɛn.sə.bəl/ (adjective): Absolutely necessary or essential.
2. **Exigent** /'ɛk.sɪ.dʒənt/ (adjective): Urgent or requiring immediate action.
3. **Engender** /ɪn'dʒɛn.dər/ (verb): To cause or produce a effect or feeling.
4. **Discourse** /'dɪs.kɔ:rs/ (noun): Written or spoken communication or debate.
5. **Authenticity** /ɔ:,θɛn'tɪs.ɪ.ti/ (noun): The quality of being genuine or true.
6. **Misalignment** /,mɪs.ə'laɪn.mənt/ (noun): The incorrect or improper arrangement or adjustment.

Comprehension Questions

Multiple Choice

1. What is the main purpose of public confidence in the scientific community?
Option: Inform individual choices
Option: Facilitate evidence-based policymaking
Option: Both A and B
Option: Neither A nor B
2. How many participants were involved in the extensive survey mentioned?
Option: 68
Option: 241
Option: 71,922
Option: 88
3. Where were data on trust in scientists detailed?
Option: Nature Human Behaviour
Option: JAMA
Option: The Lancet
Option: Science
4. What was the mean trust level in scientists worldwide according to the analysis?
Option: 2.5
Option: 3.62
Option: 4.8



Option: 5.0

5. Which country ranks fifth in trust in scientists?

- Option: Egypt
- Option: India
- Option: Nigeria
- Option: Australia

6. What percentage of respondents globally believe scientists should engage in public discourse?

- Option: 49%
- Option: 52%
- Option: 66%
- Option: 83%

True-False

- 7. Political orientation has no significant correlation with trust in scientists in most nations.
- 8. Trust in scientists is significantly higher among those favoring social inequality.
- 9. Scientists are perceived as highly competent but less receptive to feedback according to the analysis.
- 10. Polarization regarding scientific discourse in Australia is as pronounced as in contentious issues like climate change.
- 11. Scientists should not advocate for specific policies according to the majority of respondents.
- 12. Less than half of the respondents believe that scientists actively consider diverse viewpoints.

Gap-Fill

- 13. Scientists should advocate for specific policies, while _____ % believe they should partake actively in the policymaking process.
- 14. According to the findings, the gap between perceived and desired research priorities correlates positively with _____ levels.



15. The survey encompassed _____ participants across 68 nations.
16. Scientists ought to reassess their roles in establishing research priorities that align more closely with _____ values.
17. No nation exhibited a marked deficit of trust towards scientists, reinforcing their position as credible _____ in diverse contexts.
18. Scientists are perceived as highly competent, with moderate _____ and benevolent intentions.

Answer

Multiple Choice: 1. Both A and B 2. 71,922 3. Nature Human Behaviour 4. 3.62 5. Australia 6. 83%

True-False: 7. False 8. True 9. True 10. False 11. False 12. True

Gap-Fill: 13. 52 14. trust 15. 71,922 16. societal 17. authorities 18. integrity

Vocabulary quizzes

Multiple Choice (Select the Correct answer for each question.)

1. Which term is related to mental processes like learning and memory?
- Option: Cognitive
 - Option: Olfactory
 - Option: Immunostimulatory
 - Option: Misalignment
2. Which word means to make something less severe serious or painful?
- Option: Mitigate
 - Option: Proliferation
 - Option: Engender
 - Option: Scrutiny
3. What term is associated with rules or regulations set by an authority?
- Option: Regulatory
 - Option: Modulation



Option: Corroborate

Option: Discourse

4. Which word means never done or known before?

Option: Indispensable

Option: Exigent

Option: Unprecedented

Option: Ephemeral

5. What term refers to critical observation or examination?

Option: Exigent

Option: Provenance

Option: Scrutiny

Option: Dismantle

6. Which term means lasting for a very short time?

Option: Olfactory

Option: Ephemeral

Option: Phenomena

Option: Proliferation

7. What term describes typical examples or patterns of something?

Option: Engender

Option: Paradigms

Option: Moderation

Option: Misinformation

8. Which term refers to written or spoken communication or debate?

Option: Neurodegenerative

Option: Discourse

Option: Ameliorate

Option: Corroborate

9. What word means absolutely necessary or essential?

Option: Misalignment

Option: Regulatory

Option: Indispensable

Option: Exigent

10. Which term means to make something better or improve a situation?

Option: Ameliorate

Option: Convoluted

Option: Signatures



Option: Mitigate

Gap-Fill (Fill in the blanks with the correct word from the vocabulary list.)

11. The new drug has _____ properties that enhance the immune response.
12. Alzheimer's disease is a progressive _____ disorder that affects the brain.
13. Negative attitudes can _____ a sense of mistrust among team members.
14. The key to a healthy lifestyle is to practice _____ in all things.
15. The project faced challenges due to a _____ in the team's objectives.
16. The witness was able to _____ the suspect's alibi with evidence.
17. The company decided to _____ its old factory and build a more modern facility.
18. The scientist studied natural _____ to better understand the world.
19. The political _____ in the region led to increased uncertainty among citizens.
20. His actions _____ the values of courage and integrity.

Matching Sentences (Match each definition to the correct word from the vocabulary list.)

21. The rapid of social media platforms has changed the way people communicate.
22. The art expert confirmed the of the painting through detailed analysis.
23. The financial records were thoroughly to ensure accuracy and compliance.
24. Several universities formed a to collaborate on research projects.
25. She closely the subtle differences between the two options before making a decision.
26. The legal explanation was so that most people could not understand it.
27. The documents required the official of all parties involved.
28. The spread of can have negative effects on public perceptions.



29. Effective communication is an skill in any professional environment.

30. The emergency response team operates in situations to save lives.

Answer

Multiple Choice: 1. Cognitive 2. Mitigate 3. Regulatory 4. Unprecedented 5. Scrutiny 6. Ephemeral 7. Paradigms 8. Discourse 9. Indispensable 10. Ameliorate

Gap-Fill: 11. immunostimulatory 12. neurodegenerative 13. engender 14. moderation 15. misalignment 16. corroborate 17. dismantle 18. phenomena 19. instability 20. epitomize

Matching sentence: 1. proliferation 2. authenticity 3. scrutinized 4. consortium 5. discerned 6. convoluted 7. signatures 8. misinformation 9. indispensable 10. exigent

CATEGORY

1. Health - LEVEL6

Date Created

2025/01/22

Author

aimeeyoung99

ESL-NEWS.COM